

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,686	11/28/2001	Klaus During	03528.0133.PCUS00	7122
75	90 07/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Albert P Halluin			HELMER, GEORGIA L	
	Arnold & White		1 nm n irr	D. 000 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
301 Ravenswoo	ivenswood Avenue Box 34		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
Menlo Park, C.	A 94025		1638	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/889,686	DURING ET AL.	
_	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Georgia Helmer	1638	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roun. Seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matt	• •	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19,23,24 and 28-33 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19,23,24 and 28-33 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			•
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country that or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) [a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,686 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Applicant's submission filed 23 January 2006 is acknowledged. Applicant has requested amendment of claims 19 and 23, cancellation of claims 20-22 and 25-27 and addition of new claims 30-33. Claims 19, 23-24, and 28-33 are pending, and are examined in the instant action.

- **2.** This action is made Final.
- 3. All rejections not addressed below have been withdrawn.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112-2

5. Claims 19 and 23-24, 28-33 dependent thereon, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19, (e) recites "the gas phase". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 19, (g) recites "said expressed protein". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 31 recites "the groups consisting of therapeutic diagnostic and material proteins." The term "therapeutic diagnostic" is unclear and the metes and bounds of this claims is not apparent. The term "material proteins" is unclear and the metes and bounds of this claim is not apparent

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 Enablement

6. Claims 19, 23-24, 28 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in the Office Action mailed 3 June 2004. To the extent that this is a new rejection it is required by Applicant's amendment. To the extent that the rejection was made to the scope of all "plants", the rejection is maintained. Only claims 29 and 30 are drawn to specific plants. Claim 29 is drawn to wheat, barley, corn, sugar beet, sugar cane, potato, brassicaceae, tobacco and legumes. Claim 30 is drawn to potato.

Claims 19, 23-24, and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the host plant potato, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broad scope of the claims.

Applicant claims all plants unspecified or host plants wheat, barley, corn, sugar beet, sugar cane, potato, brassicaceae, tobacco and legumes. Applicant teaches the use of the tuber of a potato host plant.

Potato plants are not representative of all plants.

Potato plants are dicotyledonous v. monocots. Potatoes are used as a root vegetable crop plant, wherein the tuber is harvested. Potatoes are vegetatively propagated as opposed to sexually propagated for the seed crops. Potato is an autotetrapoid plant as opposed to alloploids.

Dicot plants are not representative of all plants. Especially they are not representative of monocot plants. The properties of stems of dicot plants differ from

those of monocot plants (Raven et al, Biology of Plants (1992), Worth Publishers, New York, NY 10003, pages 382, Table 18, and 494-5, Figures 23-8, 23-9 and 23-10). Dicots show secondary growth, characterized by the presence of secondary meristematic tissue. Dicot stem cells have the capacity to dedifferentiate and become meristematic, whereas monocot stems cells do not have this capacity. It is unpredictable that plants other than dicots would be capable of functioning in the same way as the dicots in the claimed invention. Potatoes are used as a root vegetable crop plant, wherein the tuber is harvested. "The plant parts that are harvested as 'root crops' are all storage organs, and as such they contain carbohydrate material which may be used for human and animal feed." "Unlike the cereals of the pseudo-cereals, their carbohydrate is not in a condensed form". See Walton, P. in Principles and Practice of Plant Science, 1988, published by Prentice Hall, New Jersey, pages 397-400.

Potatoes are vegetatively propagated as opposed to sexually propagated for the seed crops. Potato is an autotetrapoid plant as opposed to alloploids. As an autotetrapoid, potato has four complete chromosome sets of a single genome. The inheritance patterns of an autotetrapoid are more complex than that of an alloploid. See Fehr, W, in Principles of Cultivar development, Vol 1, 1987, published by McGraw-Hill, p. 62.

Neither the prior art nor Applicant's disclosure shows that plants other than dicot potato plant tubers are capable of functioning as desired in the claimed invention.

Accordingly, it is unpredictable that plants other than dicot potato plant tubers can be used to practice the claimed invention as commensurate in scope with the claims.

Remarks

7. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Georgia Helmer whose telephone number is 571-272-0796. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,686 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Georgia Helmer PhD Patent Examiner Art Unit 1638- Transgenic Plants 16 April 2006

ELIZABETH MICHIGAN DOMARY FXAMMEN